



Evaluation Criteria IIPs 4th Call

Based on the criteria listed below, each project will be reviewed by several reviewers. You can use them for orientation.

		yes	no
Clinician Scientist			
1	Participation of Clinician Scientist (CS) intended		
2	The CS is convincingly integrated into the High Tech Team and trained there		
3	Clear work package for CS available		
4	CS is part of the creative process rather than a clinical trial physician		
5	The CS not only concentrates on the data acquisition of patients		
Formal criteria			
6	Project partners (except for SMEs) are located in Dresden, money will be spend entirely on the Dresden campus		
7	Inflow of additional project funds (own funds or synergies with e.g. Fraunhofer, HZDR or Leibniz Society)		
Scientific criteria			
8	Development of genuine and novel high-tech innovation		
9	Technology expertise that is exceptionally strong/excellent in Dresden		
10	The work programme focuses more on core innovations than on evaluating an advanced system/prototype in (early) clinical trials.		
11	Innovation is driven more by technology/IT/innovative materials than by the 'digitalisation' of molecular technology		
Clinical/Patient need criteria			
12	The project addresses a proven medical need (improvement of medical diagnostics, therapy and/or care processes).		
13	The project addresses a demonstrated societal need (e.g., high prevalence, high mortality, high impact on quality of life, unequal access to quality care, high cost of care, regional quality disparities).		
14	The project appropriately involves the target group(s) (e.g., health care professionals, patients, care recipients, and family members) in the research project as part of the work plan (i.e., in all relevant phases of innovation development and evaluation).		

Based on the degree of fulfilment a recommendation/ranking will be issued and submitted to the steering committee of the EKFZ for final decision.